INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION 302 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE E-306 INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2764



http://www.state.in.us/iurc/ Office: (317) 232-2701 Facsimile: (3 17) 232-6758

OCT 2 8 2005

PETITION OF THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA FOR AUTHORITY TO ISSUE BONDS, INCREASE ITS WATER RATES AND CHARGES, AND FOR APPROVAL OF A NEW SCHEDULE OF RATES AND CHARGES APPLICABLE THERETO INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE NO. 42858

You are hereby notified that on this date a Presiding Officer in this Cause makes the following Entry:

On October 27, 2005, the Petitioner in this Cause, the City of Bloomington, Indiana, filed its *Motion for Extension of Time*, ("Motion"), seeking to extend its October 27, 2005 deadline to prefile rebuttal testimony to October 31, 2005. The Motion states that Petitioner and the Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor ("OUCC") have reached a settlement in principle, but that until the settlement can be memorialized Petitioner wants to preserve its ability to prefile rebuttal testimony. The Motion also states that counsel for the OUCC and intervenor Indiana University have been contacted and neither of these parties objects to the granting of the Motion. The Motion further states that messages regarding the Motion were left for counsel of the two other intervening parties: Bloomington Country Club, *et al.*, and Washington Township Water Corporation of Monroe County, Indiana, but that replies had not been received from these intervening parties at the time the Motion was filed.

An Evidentiary Hearing is scheduled to commence in this Cause on November 7, 2005. The Motion states that the only party that prefiled testimony to which Petitioner would prefile rebuttal is the OUCC. On October 7, 2005, the OUCC prefiled four pages of testimony on the single issue of Petitioner's connection charge. Any rebuttal testimony, therefore, would be limited to responding to this single issue. It seems reasonable that the other parties would have sufficient time to review any rebuttal testimony on this one issue in preparation for the Evidentiary Hearing if such testimony was filed by Petitioner and received by the other parties on October 31, 2005.

Accordingly, the Motion is granted. Petitioner should prefile any rebuttal testimony on or before October 31, 2005. Rebuttal testimony should be served on all parties either in person or electronically on the same date it is filed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

William G. Divine, Administrative Law Judge